YOKOHAMA COLLEGE OF COMMERCE (横浜商科大学)

Evaluation summary

This university has demonstrated satisfactory compliance with the standards of the Japan Institution for Higher Education Evaluation.

Standards	Evaluation result
Standard 1. Mission and Objectives, etc.	Satisfied
Standard 2. Students	Satisfied
Standard 3. Educational Curriculum	Satisfied
Standard 4. Faculty and Staff	Satisfied
Standard 5. Management, Administration and Finance	Satisfied
Standard 6. Internal Quality Assurance	Satisfied

Good practices

- The assignment to each first-year course of Student Assistants (SAs) who are senior students contributes to learning and class instruction support for first-year students and the improvement of their motivation to learn. This initiative is worthy of evaluation.
- The relationships between the teaching plan, performance evaluation criteria and diploma policy are all clearly shown in the syllabus, and are being managed. This initiative is worthy of evaluation.
- The curriculum is structured in a manner in which one can clearly understand that it is created with the objective of achieving the diploma policy. This initiative is worthy of evaluation.

Recommendations for improvement

- The number of full-time faculty members currently falls short by one, as specified by the Standards for Establishment. Immediate corrective action is required to ensure compliance with these statutory requirements.
- While mid- and long-term plans concerning the incorporated entity are deliberated by the Board of Councilors and subsequently reviewed by the Board of Directors, formal approval of these plans has not been obtained. Accordingly, improvements are required.
- In accordance with Article 46 of the Private Schools Act and Article 34, paragraph (2) of the articles of endowment, reports on financial settlements and business results approved by the Board of Directors must be submitted to the Board of Councilors for their review and opinion. Accordingly, improvements are required.
- O The current faculty staffing level does not meet the minimum requirements set forth in the Standards for Establishment, and efforts to address this shortfall have been insufficient. Consequently, the functionality of the internal quality assurance system is deemed insufficient.

Accordingly, improvements are required.