

## NAGOYA WOMEN'S UNIVERSITY (名古屋女子大学)

### Evaluation summary

During the 2015 academic year evaluation and accreditation, Point Evaluated 3-2 “Functions of the Board of Directors” was judged as being unsatisfactory and in need of fully-fledged reform. This was because the Board of Directors was making resolutions in writing, and because the deliberations over the establishment of related companies and contracts for monetary loans included a director who was in a position of conflict of interest and it was therefore not possible to describe the operations of the Board of Directors as being appropriate.

Furthermore, with regard to Point Evaluated 3-4 “Communication and governance,” monetary loans made to related companies were being decided upon by the Board of Directors without the consultation of the Board of Councilors, in addition to which the University’s auditors made no comment on the inappropriate procedures surrounding the monetary loans and as it was therefore not possible to say that a governance check system was functioning; fully-fledged reforms were required and the Point Evaluated was deemed as being unsatisfactory. Since Points Evaluated 3-2 and 3-4 were deemed as being unsatisfactory, Standard 3 “Management, Administration and Finance” was deemed unsatisfactory.

However, as it was judged that it would be feasible to reform the cause of the standard deemed unsatisfactory within the space of one year, it was decided to wait for the results of the re-evaluation before making a final decision and the comprehensive judgment on the University had therefore been deferred.

With regard to Points Evaluated 3-2 and 3-4 for Standard 3, the results of an academic year 2017 re-evaluation centering on the matters for reform pointed out in the academic year 2015 revealed that the University had taken the content of these points seriously and the points in question had been reformed. It is to be hoped that University will continue to implement continuous self-inspection and evaluation, and do its best to achieve quality assurance and reforms.