

Tokyo Future University (東京未来大学)

Evaluation summary

This university has demonstrated satisfactory compliance with the standards of the Japan Institution for Higher Education Evaluation.

Standards	Evaluation result
Standard 1. Mission and Objectives, etc.	Satisfied
Standard 2. Students	Satisfied
Standard 3. Educational Curriculum	Satisfied
Standard 4. Faculty and Staff	Satisfied
Standard 5. Management, Administration and Finance	Satisfied
Standard 6. Internal Quality Assurance	Satisfied

Good practices

- With the objective of providing students with consistent support from before admission to after graduation the name of the secretariat has been changed to the Enrollment Management (EM) Bureau, which works on comprehensive support for students. This initiative is worthy of evaluation.
- Campus Advisors (CAs) are posted to each class, and a system has been created in which they work in collaboration with class teachers and course instructors to provide meticulous student support. This initiative is worthy of evaluation.
- In addition to ascertaining the requests and opinions of students, the CAs play a central role in consultation regarding school life. This initiative is worthy of evaluation.
- By publishing its Research Promotion Newsletter the university enthusiastically shares internally and externally and publicizes good examples of its research, which leads to the stimulation of internal research activities. This initiatives are worthy of evaluation.

Recommendations for improvement

- With regard to the meetings of the Board of Directors and the Board of Councilors, since the explanation of the items on the agenda and their deliberation take place with attendance of both directors and councilors, in light of the roles of the Board of Directors and the Board of Councilors stipulated in the articles of endowment, their operation methods need improvement.
- Improvements are needed, for there are matters requiring improvements regarding the way that

the Board of Directors and the Board of Councilors are held and functionality concerning internal quality assurance can hardly be described as adequate.