

Biwako-Gakuin University (びわこ学院大学)

Evaluation summary

This university has demonstrated satisfactory compliance with the standards of the Japan Institution for Higher Education Evaluation.

Standards	Evaluation result
Standard 1. Mission and Objectives, etc.	Satisfied
Standard 2. Students	Satisfied
Standard 3. Educational Curriculum	Satisfied
Standard 4. Faculty and Staff	Satisfied
Standard 5. Management, Administration and Finance	Satisfied
Standard 6. Internal Quality Assurance	Satisfied

Good practices

- The Learning Record—a file recording students’ studies at the university—is an initiative that enables students to formulate a four-year learning plan, and check and evaluate their progress status for themselves. This initiative is worthy of evaluation.
- The university has established the Biwagaku Career Juku, a unique, university-wide career guidance system providing career support to students beginning in their first year, and each individual student is provided with systematic and comprehensive career support in line with their desired career path. This initiative is worthy of evaluation.
- Faculty members are evaluated in accordance with the Report on Self-setting of Education and Research Activity Targets, Report on Education and Research Activity Achievements, and Report on Self-evaluation of Education and Research Activities; the President evaluates all faculty members’ annual performance and presents a summary of the evaluations to the Faculty Council. These initiatives are worthy of high evaluation.
- In order to enhance the university’s IR functions, the university had two staff members take a training course and acquire IRer (Institutional Researcher) accreditation. This initiative is worthy of evaluation.
- As specific training initiatives concerning matters that must be complied with in regard to research ethics and research, the university holds compliance workshops once every year. As a general rule, all faculty and staff are required to attend these workshops, and after participating in each year’s workshop, submit a Check of Degree of Understanding and Pledge. These initiatives are worthy

of evaluation.

Recommendations for improvement

None.