

## **Daiichi Institute of Technology (第一工科大学)**

### Evaluation summary

**This university has demonstrated satisfactory compliance with the standards of the Japan Institution for Higher Education Evaluation.**

<b>Standards</b>	<b>Evaluation result</b>
Standard 1. Mission and Objectives, etc.	Satisfied
Standard 2. Students	Satisfied
Standard 3. Educational Curriculum	Satisfied
Standard 4. Faculty and Staff	Satisfied
Standard 5. Management, Administration and Finance	Satisfied
Standard 6. Internal Quality Assurance	Satisfied

### **Good practices**

- Class evaluation by students of all courses of all faculty is conducted at the end of each semester, the evaluation results are disclosed, the Classes Improvement Plan is formulated and published, and a PDCA cycle has been established for developments towards specific improvements. The fact that the university is constantly striving to improve the quality of classes is worthy of high evaluation.

### **Recommendations for improvement**

- The fill rates of the total capacity of the Faculty of Aeronautical Engineering's Department of Aeronautical Engineering and the Faculty of Engineering's Department of Mechanical Systems Engineering are less than 70% and improvements are required.
- The fact that the entrance examination results are not discussed by the Faculty Council is not in line with Article 8 of the University Regulations, and improvements are required.
- With regard to the formulation of mid-term plans concerning business, both Article 42 of the Private Schools Act and Article 20 of the articles of endowment (consultation matters) stipulate that this shall be done upon listening to the opinions of the Board of Councilors, and improvements are required to adhere to these stipulations.
- Points requiring improvement have been indicated including the fact that the Faculty Council is not making judgments on the passing or failing of entrance examinations, and the opinions of the Board of Councilors are not being sought when formulating mid-term plans. Since issues in the functionality of internal quality assurance have been recognized, improvements are required.