Evaluation summary

This junior college has demonstrated satisfactory compliance with the standards of the Japan Institution for Higher Education Evaluation.

Standards	<b>Evaluation result</b>
Standard 1. Mission and Objectives, etc.	Satisfied
Standard 2. Students	Satisfied
Standard 3. Educational Curriculum	Satisfied
Standard 4. Faculty and Staff	Satisfied
Standard 5. Management, Administration and Finance	Satisfied
Standard 6. Internal Quality Assurance	Satisfied

## **Good practices**

- A survey on the buildup of exhaustion is implemented each year covering all students. Through the provision of feedback on the analyzed results to students by a certified clinical psychologist, support is provided for the healthy lifestyles of students. This initiative is worthy of evaluation.
- O The Freshman's Guide created independently by each department is printed in color and compiles the diploma policy and special features of the department, is used in orientation and a wide range of situations including the Basic Seminar. As an initiative to seek the thorough understanding of the department by students, this initiative is worthy of evaluation.
- A teaching portfolio for faculty to reflect upon their own education has been introduced throughout the entire junior college, and the fact that they are made public to faculty, staff and students and attempts are made to gain understanding about and enrichment of education and research activities is a pioneering and exemplary initiative for a junior college. This initiative is worthy of evaluation.
- O The Shokei Gakuen Guidelines on the Use of Social Media have been formulated from the perspective of information ethics and consideration for human rights, and the university is providing education concerning the appropriate use of social media. This initiative is worthy of evaluation.
- An External Experts Evaluation Committee consisting of external experts is held each academic year and the external evaluation report is drawn up; the report is shared among the Self-Inspection and Evaluation Committee, Internal Quality Assurance Council, Board of Councilors and Executive Committee, and the university is thus approaching the revision and improvement of management and improvement of internal quality assurance. This initiative is worthy of evaluation.

## **Recommendations for improvement**

None.