

INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF JAPAN (国際大学)

Evaluation summary

This university has demonstrated satisfactory compliance with the standards of the Japan Institution for Higher Education Evaluation.

Standards	Evaluation result
Standard 1. Mission and Objectives, etc.	Satisfied
Standard 2. Students	Satisfied
Standard 3. Educational Curriculum	Satisfied
Standard 4. Faculty and Staff	Satisfied
Standard 5. Management, Administration and Finance	Satisfied
Standard 6. Internal Quality Assurance	Satisfied

Good practices

- With regard to specific measures to make a reality of the significance and aims of the university's mission, goals and educational objectives, the faculty and staff involved in university operation visit various external organizations, listen to opinions and requests, and incorporate them in university operation. This initiative is worthy of evaluation.
- A robust education and research support system has been developed including a faculty consultant in the Graduate School of International Relations (GSIR) master's program, a research guidance system consisting of a main supervisor and two advisors in the GSIR's PhD program, and a mentor system in the Graduate School of International Management (GSIM). This initiative is worthy of evaluation.
- The university's unique scholarship, the S. Nakayama Memorial Scholarship provides students with robust financial support. This initiative is worthy of high evaluation.
- The GSIM has been accredited by the Association to Advance Collegiate Schools of Business (AACSB), an international business school accreditation body, and the arrangement of the educational curriculum and improvements to teaching methods are continuously implemented. These initiatives are worthy of evaluation.
- From the academic year 2015, in cooperation with the university's home city of Minami Uonuma, as a part of the city's hometown tax system menu an International University of Japan Supporting Course has been provided, creating a mechanism in which part of the hometown tax donations are paid as subsidies for student support. This initiative is worthy of high evaluation.
- A system has been created in which the Graduating Survey, the Monitoring Survey on the Achievement of Learning Objectives, Course Evaluations and other data for the purpose of the continuous inspection and evaluation of educational outcomes are meticulously analyzed and compared at the individual, degree program and overall program levels, and these are put to

good use. This initiative is worthy of high evaluation.

Recommendations for improvement

None.