

## Naragakuen University (奈良学園大学)

### Evaluation summary

**This university has demonstrated satisfactory compliance with the standards of the Japan Institution for Higher Education Evaluation.**

Standards	Evaluation result
Standard 1. Mission and Objectives, etc.	Satisfied
Standard 2. Students	Satisfied
Standard 3. Educational Curriculum	Satisfied
Standard 4. Faculty and Staff	Satisfied
Standard 5. Management, Administration and Finance	Satisfied
Standard 6. Internal Quality Assurance	Satisfied

### Good practices

- Student peer supporters are fostered as entities to support consultation with faculty, and conduct support activities such as helping new entrants with course registration. This initiative is worthy of evaluation.
- In order to make a reality of the student goal of “becoming people who support people,” the Naragakuen University Commitment has been stipulated and the university makes a clear stance of support. This initiative is worthy of evaluation.
- Parts of the library have been assigned for thematically based books such as the “Book Forest” and “Research Forest,” and the Library Student Supporter System established. The university is thus creating a user-friendly library from the perspective of students. This initiative is worthy of high evaluation.
- The university has established and is using a Relearning Subsidy System, and the faculty and staff are provided financial support for self-improvement to upgrade their occupational knowledge and skills and to contribute to improved education quality and productivity. These initiatives are worthy of evaluation.
- With regard to the results of the initiatives in the business plan formulated each academic year according to the mid-term plan, in addition to deliberations by the Board of Directors and reporting to the Board of Councilors, a joint meeting of directors and councilors is held for the purposes of reviewing the previous academic year and exchanging opinions towards the next academic year. This initiative is worthy of evaluation.
- The university convenes each year the Third-Party Evaluation Meeting, with the objective of collecting the opinions regarding internal quality assurance from a wide range of perspectives including adjacent municipalities, regional industry, students, and student guarantors. This initiative is worthy of evaluation.

**Recommendations for improvement**

- In the course of deciding upon student admission, graduation, completion of programs and conferral of degrees, the Faculty Council is not giving its opinions to the President. Accordingly, improvements are required.